

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRYAN MICHAEL POLLESTAD,

Defendant.

Case No. CR20-137 RAJ

DETENTION ORDER

Offenses charged:

Count 1: Conspiracy to Distribute Methamphetamine, Heroin, and Fentanyl in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846

Count 22: Possession of Methamphetamine and Fentanyl with the Intent to Distribute in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(A) and (B), 18 U.S.C. § 2

Count 23: Possession of Heroin with Intent to Distribute in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B), 18 U.S.C. § 2

Count 27: Possession of Methamphetamine, Heroin, and Fentanyl with Intent to Distribute, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841 (a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A), (B), and (C), 18 U.S.C. § 2

1 Count 30: Conspiracy to Distribute Methamphetamine, Heroin, and Fentanyl in
2 violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846

3 Count 31: Possession of Methamphetamine, Heroin, and Fentanyl with Intent to
4 Distribute in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A) and (B), 18 U.S.C. § 2

5 Count 33: Possession of Heroin with Intent to Distribute in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§
6 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(A), 18 U.S.C. § 2

7 Date of Detention Hearing: The Court held a hearing via a WebEx videoconference, with the
8 consent of Defendant on September 3, 2020, due to the exigent circumstances as outlined in
9 General Order 11-20. This detention order is without prejudice to renewing once the court has
10 reconstituted in-person hearings.

11 The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and
12 based upon the reasons for detention hereafter set forth, finds:

13 FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

- 14 1. There is a rebuttable presumption of detention pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e).
- 15 2. Defendant stipulated to detention.
- 16 3. Defendant poses a risk of nonappearance due to a history of failures to appear, non-
17 compliance while on terms of supervision and non-compliance with court orders.
18 Defendant was also not interviewed and therefore his background, history, and ties
19 to the community are unknown. Defendant poses a risk of danger due to the nature
20 of charged offense. Based on these findings, and for the reasons stated on the
21 record, there does not appear to be any condition or combination of conditions that
22 will reasonably assure the Defendant's appearance at future court hearings while
23 addressing the danger to other persons or the community.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- Dated this 3rd day of September, 2020.

MICHELLE L. PETERSON
United States Magistrate Judge